



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

230

CRM-M-34399-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.10.2025

AJAY BAGGA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**Present:-** Mr. P.S. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

Sanjay Vashisth, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) for grant of regular bail in FIR No.83 dated 01.04.2025 under Sections 15, 18, 20, 21, 27, 29, 61, 85 of NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Anti Narcotics Force (ANTF) Sector-79, SAS Nagar.

2. Counsel for the petitioner argues that as per secret information, First Information Report was registered against prime accused namely Dharminder Singh @ Ghora and Dilpreet Singh who allegedly were doing illegal business of selling narcotics like opium, poppy husk and Heroin. On being intercepted by the member(s) of police team, recovery of 70 kgs of poppy husk, 77 kgs of Ganja, 240 grams of opium, 50 kgs of poppy plant (*dode*) and jewellery valuing Rs. 8 lakhs were effected from the prime accused, Dharminder Singh @ Ghora. After arrest of Dharminder Singh @ Ghora, who in his disclosure statement, co-accused namely Dilpreet Singh was also



involved in the present case. Counsel further argues that the petitioner was nominated as accused through disclosure statement of co-accused Dilpreet Singh with whom certain transactions/ payment of amount by petitioner on his mobile phone was there. Counsel further argues that the petitioner has never been found indulged in any other criminal activity. Even in the present case, except of the disclosure statement, no substantial evidence is available with the prosecution or available against the petitioner to connect him with the crime.

3. He further contends that the petitioner is inside jail since 02.05.2025 and no meaningful purpose would be served to keep him behind the bars. He thus, prays for grant of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned DAG argues that the petitioner is involved as main accused as he is the supplier of contraband recovered in the instant case. However there is no denial that his name was not there in the secret information and the same has been involved in the present case after effecting arrest of co-accused-Dilpreet Singh.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the record from which it is clear that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was subsequently named in the disclosure statement of Dilpreet Singh. Moreover, allegations qua the petitioner are yet to be proved as to whether the petitioner was actually involved in the crime or not in any manner and interim anticipatory bail of Dilpreet Singh who was accompanying the main accused Dharminder Singh @ Ghora, has already been confirmed by this Court vide order of even date passed in CRM-M-32150-2025. Given above, the prayer made in the present petition is accepted and the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court / Chief Judicial Magistrate / Area Magistrate / Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.



6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

15th October, 2025

Sonia Puri

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No