



CRM-M-55712-2025

-1-

**248+292 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(1) CRM-M-55712-2025

Rahul Kumar @ Saloshan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

(2) CRM-M-25060-2025

Vishal Chopra @ Rocky

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Date of Decision: 13.10.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Himanshu Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner
in CRM-M-55712-2025.
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CRM-M-25060-2025.
Ms. Simran Gorla, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Lokesh Garg, Advocate, for the complainant.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. This order will dispose of above-mentioned two petitions, as both have arisen out of a common FIR.
2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of present petitions praying for granting them regular bail in case FIR No.70 dated 21.09.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118, 323, 324(4), 109, 191 (3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Mohkampura, District Amritsar.
3. Succinctly, facts of the case are that the FIR in the present case was registered on the statement of complainant, Pallavi Chopra. It was alleged that there was a matrimonial dispute between Pallavi Chopra (wife) and Vishal Chopra (husband) (petitioner in CRM-M-25060-2025) and



hence, she shifted to her parental home. On 18.09.2024 around 09:00 p.m., when the complainant was at her parents' house, her husband entered the house and abused her mother and slapped her and he also assaulted her nephew Gautam by going to her brother's house. Thereafter, on 21.09.2024, he again came with his friend Rahul Kumar Saloshan (petitioner in CRM-M-55712-2025) and others. Vishal Chopra came in front of their house with intention to kill and fired six gun shots which struck in front of the house. Thereafter, the neighbours gathered there and on seeing the neighbours, they escaped from there. Request was made to take legal action against the accused. On the registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. The petitioners were arrested on 04.04.2025/22.09.2024. The petitioners approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail applications filed by the petitioners vide order dated 02.07.2025/21.02.2025. Hence, the petitioners have approached this Court praying for grant of regular bail by way of filing the present petitions.

4. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that primarily the dispute is between the husband and wife.

Learned counsel for petitioner Vishal Chopra @ Rocky has submitted that though there are allegations regarding firing gun shot, however, there is no fire arm injury caused to anyone. To buttress his arguments, he submits that the complainant-wife has been examined before



the trial Court as PW-1 and she has not supported the case of the prosecution and hence, turned hostile.

Learned counsel for petitioner Rahul Kumar @ Saloshan has submitted that there are no specific allegation against the petitioner and he has been roped in the present case only being the friend of Vishal Chopra.

Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the petitioners are behind the bars since the date of their arrest. They further submit that in the facts and circumstances of the present case, the petitioners deserve to be granted regular bail.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners.

6. In CRM-M-25060-2025, status report by way of affidavit of Inspector Harparkash Singh, SHO PS Mohkamura, Amritsar filed in Court is taken on record.

7. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners. However, she endorses the fact that dispute is between the husband and wife and the wife has not supported the case of the prosecution before the trial Court. She has placed on record the custody certificates of the petitioners.

8. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the dispute is primarily between the husband and wife. Though there are allegations of fire arm, however, there is no injury suffered by anyone. The petitioners are behind bars since the date of their arrest i.e. 04.04.2025/22.09.2024. As submitted before this Court, the complainant wife has not supported the case of the prosecution and she has been turned hostile. Custody certificates of the petitioners would show that petitioner



Rahul Kumar @ Saloshan has suffered an incarceration of 06 months and 04 days as on 10.10.2025 and petitioner Vishal Chopra @ Rocky has suffered incarceration of 01 year & 17 days. Custody certificates further show that petitioner Rahul Kumar @ Saloshan has no criminal antecedents, whereas petitioner Vishal Chopra @ Rocky is involved in 14 more cases.

9. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioners succeed in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioners.

10. It is being clarified that in case petitioner- Vishal Chopra @ Rocky does not furnish bail/surety bonds within a period of one week from today, his custody will not be counted in the present case after one week.

11. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

13.10.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No