



CWP-26022-2025 (O&M)

-1-

153

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-26022-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.09.2025**

Avtar Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Financial Commissioner Revenue (Appeals), Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSH BUNGER J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside order dated 29.07.2025 (Annexure P-10) passed by the learned Financial Commissioner, Punjab only to the extent whereby the application for ad interim stay filed by the petitioners along with the revision petition has not been adjudicated.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have challenged the partition proceedings/Sanad Taksim by filing a revision petition bearing ROR No.507 of 2025 before the learned Financial Commissioner which was listed for preliminary hearing on 29.07.2025, however, on the said date, the learned Financial Commissioner had only issued notice to the parties and their application for grant of ad interim stay has not been considered/decided.

2.2 It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the opposite party is pressing hard for implementation of the Sanad Taksim for



CWP-26022-2025 (O&M)

-2-

which the matter is now fixed before the concerned Assistant Collector for 15.09.2025.

2.3 After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would file an application for preponement of hearing on the application for grant of ad interim stay and the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions be issued to the learned Financial Commissioner to consider and decide the preponement application and also the stay application in a time bound manner.

3. On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Nirmaljit Singh Diwana, Sr. DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of the respondent/State.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case, the petitioners move an application for preponement of hearing in the application for grant of ad interim stay, the same shall be considered and decided within a period of one week from the date when such application is filed by the petitioners.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid submissions made by learned counsels for the respective parties, the present writ petition is disposed of by granting liberty to the petitioners to move an appropriate application seeking preponement of hearing in the application for grant of ad interim stay filed along with revision petition (ROR No.507 of 2025). In case, any such application is filed by the petitioners within a period of three days from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the learned Financial Commissioner within a period of one week from the date when such application is filed by the petitioners, after affording due opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and/or the concerned parties.

6. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

03.09.2025

Himani

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No