



[256] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-6192-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27.03.2025

Surinder Kumar ...Appellant
versus

Vijay Shankar YadavRespondent

Coram : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: None for the appellant.
Mr. Avnish Mittal, Advocate for the respondent.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 20.11.2018 while issuing notice of motion, this Court passed the following order:-

“ *Learned counsel for the appellant does not press this appeal on merits. It is however submitted that the appellant is ready and willing to return a sum of Rs.20 lakh as well as some amount as damages to the respondent within three (03) months.*

Notice of motion, at this stage, to the limited extent as above, for 14.12.2018.

Dasti only.”

[2] On 14.12.2018, following order was passed by this Court:-

“ *Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that possibility of an amicable resolution of the dispute between the parties needs to be explored.*

Let this matter be placed before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

The parties are directed to appear before the Mediator on 20.12.2018.

List on 07.05.2019 to await report of the Mediator.”



RSA-6192-2018 (O&M)

-2-

- [3] Mediation between the parties has failed.
- [4] None appeared on behalf of the appellant on 14.11.2019. Same is the case today.
- [5] **Dismissed** for non-prosecution.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

27.03.2025
'R. Sharma'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No