



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43066-2025  
Date of Decision:13.08.2025**

Kamaljit Kaur @ Toti ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

**Coram :** **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Suram Singh Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Joshi, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)**

1. The petitioner has filed the second petition under Section 483 of BNSS with a prayer to grant regular bail to her in case FIR No.09 dated 02.02.2024, registered under Sections 22(b),29,61,85 of NDPS Act, Police Station Bilga, District Jalandhar.

2. According to the prosecution story, the petitioner was found in conscious possession of 11 injections Buprenorphine Hydrochloride, weighing 21.935 grams, without any permit or licence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner is marginally above the 'commercial quantity' and no independent witness was joined by the police party. She is in custody since 02.02.2024. Trial is likely to take long time and no useful purpose will be served by further detention of the petitioner in custody. Thus, it is prayed that he may be released on regular bail. In support of his contentions,

learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon judgments in **(i) CRM-M-37684-2021, Balwinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 14.02.2022;** **(ii) CRM-M-8212-2022, Tajinder Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 03.03.2022** and **(iii) CRM-M-35186-2016, Manjit Kaur @ Jeeto vs. State of Punjab, decided on 01.12.2016.**

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, custody period of the petitioner and also the fact that the quantity of alleged contraband is marginally above the 'commercial quantity', but without commenting on merits of the case, I am of the considered view that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail pending trial on her furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/

Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)**  
**JUDGE**

13.08.2025  
*hitesh*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No