



CRM-M-13025-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

245

CRM-M-13025-2025

Date of decision : 23.05.2025

Hardeep Singh

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Mr. Virender Singh, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Sidhant, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S.Rawat, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner- Hardeep Singh filed this petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C./528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.0060 dated 16.07.2023, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, at Women Police Station Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, qua the petitioner, in light of the compromise effected between the parties dated 23.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) and Statements dated 26.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) of the parties made before learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Panchkula.

2. As per facts of the case, complainant Anju filed written complaint alleging that her marriage was performed with Hardeep Singh Bhayan on 27.02.2022. After two months of marriage, she was harassed by her husband and mother-in-law on one pretext or the other. They started finding faults in her. Her mother-in-law used to instigate her husband and finally, she was turned out of the house in the month of June. She was again sent back in the matrimonial home but there was no change in their behaviour. Her mother-in-law misappropriated



all her articles and clothes. It was not possible for them to fulfill their demands. She was illtreated and abused in filthy language. She has narrated various incidents which took place in the matrimonial home. Whenever there was a dispute, she was again sent back in the matrimonial home. Finally, she was given merciless beating and was saved by her neighbours. The neighbours informed her parents and she also called her grandfather. Apprehending threat to her life, she left the house at night time along with her grandfather. With these allegations, present FIR has been registered.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Vide order dated 10.03.2025, petitioner and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula dated 30.04.2025. Statement of respondent No.2 has been recorded where she confirmed the compromise with petitioner. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected voluntarily and without any coercion and undue influence and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioner- Hardeep Singh also confirmed this fact in his separate statement. Statement of HC Hardeep is also recorded who confirmed that petitioner is not involved or declared as proclaimed offender in any other criminal case.

5. Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panchkula, it is clear that compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence. They have mutually settled all their claims arisen from matrimonial dispute. They have decided to part ways by filing joint petition under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act. Matter has



been settled in Rs.8,00,000/- which will be paid at the time of recording of second motion statements. Thereafter, they will be able to live independently in peace and harmony. No purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

6. Gainful reference can be made to the judgment of Larger Bench of Five Judges of this High Court cited in **2007(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 1052 tilted as Kulwinder Singh and Ors. Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.**, where it was explained that ‘there can never be any hard and fast category which can be prescribed to enable the court to exercise its power under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. The only principle that can be laid down is the one which has been incorporated in the section itself i.e. to prevent abuse of the process of any court or to secure the ends of justice.’

7. Therefore, by relying upon the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings. Considering these facts, the petition filed by the petitioner is accepted and of FIR No.0060 dated 16.07.2023, registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, at Women Police Station Panchkula, District Panchkula (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

23.05.2025.

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No