

2025.PHHC:100396



240 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25996-2025
Date of Decision: 05.08.2025

Jagjeet Singh @ Jeeta

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Navneet Kaur, Advocate for the complainant.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court by way of present petition praying for granting regular bail in case FIR No.123 dated 06.09.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 115(2)/126(2)/351(1)/351(3)/304/191(3)/190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act (Sections 109/180 of BNS added later on), registered at Police Station Kotwali, Nabha, District Patiala.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been roped falsely and frivolously in the present case. He submits that FIR had been lodged on the statement of the complainant Yashpal Singh. He submits that as per allegations made by the complainant, dispute is primarily between the co-accused Bikramjit Singh and the complainant as co-accused Bikramjit Singh had given his car to the complainant. The dispute was with regard to Rs.40,000/-, given by Bikramjit Singh to the complainant. It is submitted that the allegations pertaining to snatching of jewellery are also not against the petitioner, but this was against co-accused Bikramjit Singh. He further submits that the petitioner had been roped in the

present case on the basis of the fabricated allegations and he is behind bars since 16.09.2024. He submits that the petitioner approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail application filed by the petitioner vide order dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-6). Thereafter, he approached this Court by way of filing CRM-M-62541-2024, which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 21.02.2025 (Annexure P-7). Hence, the petitioner has approached this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing the present petition. He further submits that the investigation is already completed and challan has been presented in the case. He further submits that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of about 10 months in the present case during the investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that dispute between both the parties has already been resolved by way of compromise and complainant has no objection if the petition filed by the petitioner is allowed.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He submits that complicity of the petitioner was established during the investigation and the petitioner was arrested on 16.09.2024. He submits that the investigation is already complete. Challan has been presented. He has placed on record the custody certificate of the petitioner.

5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that as per allegations made by the complainant, the dispute was between the complainant and Bikramjit Singh. As per statement of counsel for the complainant now the dispute has already been resolved between the parties by way of compromise. The custody certificate would reflect that the petitioner has suffered incarceration of 10 months & 15 days as on 04.08.2025. It further reflects that the petitioner is involved in one more case although he has been released under the

Punjab Government Premature Release Policy in that case.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Thus, keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

05.08.2025

Parveen Kumar

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No