

2025:PHHC:142410



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

**CRM-M No.33920 of 2024
Date of decision: 14.10.2025**

Jaspal Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Manpreet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the complainant.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have joined investigation. On the last date of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, had informed that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required.

2. As per the allegations, the petitioners who are mother-in-law and father-in-law respectively of son of the complainant along with their daughter and some other family members had duped him of an amount of Rs.27 lakhs on the premise that on bearing expenses for sending their daughter i.e. co-accused Amanpreet Kaur abroad after getting married

2025:PHHC:142410



with son of the complainant, she would sponsor son of the complainant for Canada whereas she did not take any such steps.

3. Given the nature of the allegations as levelled against the petitioners, the pre trial incarceration of the petitioners is not required. As such, this Court is of the considered opinion that a case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out in favour of the petitioners. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 08.09.2025 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.

4. It is, however, clarified that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

14.10.2025

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No