



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(131)

CWP No. 7201 of 2025

Date of Decision : 17.03.2025

Goldy Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Avinit Avasthi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner competed for the post of Assistant Professor (College Cadre) in the subject of English and all the advertised posts have not been filled up but still, the claim of the petitioner is not being considered for appointment to the post in question against the said vacant posts and that too without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representations dated 03.01.2025, 10.01.2025 and 16.02.2025 (Annexures P-13 to P-15) respectively but the same are still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representations dated 03.01.2025, 10.01.2025 and 16.02.2025 (Annexures P-13 to P-15) respectively have been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same are still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of four weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

March 17, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No