

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:086177



(112)

SHAMILA RANI

CRWP-7525-2025

Decided on :16.07.2025

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“(a) Issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioner & Family from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9 & further directing the respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondents No. 4 to 9 to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioner & Family or to harass them in any manner or to cause any detriment to the petitioner & Family in any manner;

(b) In the alternative, for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents to take action on the representation dated 12.06.2025 (P-2) in a time bound manner.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 12.06.2025

made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of their representation dated 12.06.2025 (Annexure P-2), is directed for.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka) is mandated to look into the representation dated 12.06.2025 (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter.

(ii) No order as to costs.

July 16, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No