



**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-5059-2025
Date of Decision: 08.05.2025**

Karan @ Atu ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 seeking regular bail in case FIR No.698 dated 04.10.2023 under Sections 120B/148/149/302/324/34 (later on Section 506 IPC and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 were added) registered at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.
2. After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not wish to press the present petition at this stage and the same may be dismissed as such.
3. However, it has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that despite having been given 12 opportunities to the prosecution they are not intentionally examining the prosecution witnesses.
4. The prosecution is directed to produce the prosecution witnesses positively and the trial Court concerned is also directed to examine the said witnesses on the date fixed.
5. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as not pressed at this stage.

08.05.2025

Parveen kumar

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No