



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(319)

CR-164-2023

Date of Decision: - 29.09.2025

Seema

....Petitioner

Versus

Monika Goyal and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Goel, Advocate, for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 07.01.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Civil Judge (Sr. Division), Kurukshetra, vide which application under Order 8 Rule 9 read with Section 151 CPC has been allowed.

2. It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the main suit itself has been dismissed in default by the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kurukshetra, vide order dated 16.09.2025, thus, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

3. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the present revision petition in case any cause survives.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

September 29, 2025

naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No