



126 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14232-2025

Date of Decision :16.05.2025

Neelam Devi and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Tejpal Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners on parity with the similar situated persons who have been allotted fresh stations vide orders dated 26.09.2024 and 30.04.2025, Annexures P-5 and P-9, respectively.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case the second respondent is directed to decide the pending representation, dated 29.11.2024, Annexure P-7, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.2 and submits that the pending representation, dated 29.11.2024, will be decided by the second respondent/State Project Director, Haryana School Shiksha



Pariyojna Parishad, by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

May 16, 2025

ps

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*