



231 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51566-2025
Date of decision: 09.10.2025

RAJINDER SINGH ALIAS RAJU

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Ms. Taanvi Dhull, Advocate for
 Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.103 dated 22.07.2023 registered under Sections 21, 22 & 25 of NDPS Act at Police Station Sadar Raikot, District Ludhiana.

2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Harjinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Raikot, District Ludhiana (Rural), filed on behalf of the State is taken on record along with the custody certificate.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

4. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 22.07.2023, ASI Gurmeet Singh was on patrolling duty along with other police



officials. When the police party was present at T-point of Village Bassian, ASI Gurmeet Singh received a secret information to the effect that Rajinder Singh @ Raju (petitioner), who is habitual of selling heroin and intoxicating tablets, will be coming towards Raikot on his Activa scooter via village Siloani, Binjal with narcotics. If a naka is laid, he can be apprehended. Thereafter, barricade was installed and after some time, petitioner was apprehended and upon search, 1105 loose intoxicating tablets and 7 grams of Heroin were recovered from his possession. Thereafter, petitioner was arrested and contraband was seized. After completion of investigation, final report was presented against the accused for trial.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He is in custody since 22.07.2023. After completion of investigation, challan has been presented and charge has been framed against the petitioner. Learned counsel further contended that prolonged incarceration and undue delay in disposal of the trial can over-ride the rigors of Section 37 of the NDPS Act considering the fundamental right of personal liberty of petitioner under Article 21 of the Constitution of India and learned counsel prayed that petitioner be released on bail. In support of his contention, learned counsel has relied upon judgments of this Court in CRM-M-21794 of 2023–**Sandeep Singh Vs. State of Punjab** decided on 05.05.2023, CRR-1785 of 2018 (O&M)-**Vicky Kaur Vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 13.08.2018, CRM-M-14029 of 2018–**Kamlesh Vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 06.05.2015, CRM-M-17321 of 2025-**Jassu Ram @ Jasuram**



Vs. State of Punjab, decided on 04.04.2025 and a judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. (s).12788/2023 – **Nandalal Mondal @ Abhay Mondal Vs. The State of West Bengal**.

6. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that the petitioner has committed a heinous crime as he was found in possession of commercial quantity of contraband and in view of rigors contained in statutory provision of Section 37 of NDPS Act, he is not entitled to the benefit of bail.

7. As per allegations, petitioner was found to be in possession of 7 grams of Heroin and 1105 loose intoxicating tablets having salt Clonazepam weighing 133 gms, which falls under commercial quantity. Petitioner is in custody since 22.07.2023 and trial thus has been delayed and there is also no likelihood of the same being concluded soon. Hon'ble Supreme Court in 2023 Live Law (SC) 533, **Rabi Prakash Vs. State of Odisha** has held that prolonged incarceration, generally militates against the most precious fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution and in such a situation, the conditional liberty must override the statutory embargo created under Section 37 of the NDPS Act. To the same effect is the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in 2024 (4) RCR (Criminal) 172, **Ankur Chaudhary Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh** and 2023 AIR(SC) 1648, **Mohammad Muslim alias Hussain Vs. State (NCT of Delhi)** in which Hon'ble Supreme Court while granting regular bail to an accused, from whom commercial quantity of contraband was recovered, has held that grant of bail on the ground of undue delay in trial cannot be said to be fettered by Section 37



of the NDPS Act. A co-ordinate Bench of this Court has also held so in judgment reported as Law Finder Doc Id #2770222 – **Garpawandeep Singh alias Bihari Vs. State of Punjab** decided vide judgment dated 27.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-19408 of 2025 wherein 260 grams of heroin was allegedly recovered. Hon'ble Supreme Court while deciding Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No.12788/2023 titled **Nandalal Mondal alias Abhay Mondal Vs. The State of West Bengal**, vide judgment dated 03.01.2024 which taking into consideration the period of custody already undergone by the petitioner/under-trial, the fact that he does not have any criminal antecedents and also keeping in view the prolonged incarceration, ordered release of the petitioner on bail who was also found in possession of 10,000 ml of codeine phosphate - a cough syrup which falls within the commercial quantity.

8. Therefore, taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the present case and also the ratio of law laid down in afore-mentioned case laws, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody and resultantly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions. However, in addition to the terms and conditions that may be imposed by the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) Petitioner shall not misuse the concession of bail granted to him.



- (ii) Petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary during the trial.
- (iii) Petitioner shall regularly appear before the trial Court and he will not commit any offence of similar nature while on bail.
- (iv) Petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
- (v) Petitioner shall not in any manner delay the trial.

In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions or the conditions that may be imposed by the trial Court or upon any other sufficient cause, the State shall be at liberty to apply for cancellation of bail.

09.10.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No