

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP no.2312 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision :28.01.2016

K.K.Aggarwal

....Petitioners

Versus

Sh.Deepak Kumar, IAS, Chandigarh

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr.Rajbir Singh Guron, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Sr. Advocate with

Mr. Vikram Vir Sharda, Advocate for the respondent

MAHESH GROVER, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that SLP has been preferred against the orders of the writ Court.

The aforesaid fact would not be of any consequence and no justification for the respondents to keep the execution of the orders of the writ Court in abeyance unless there is a restraint order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is willing to furnish an undertaking to the respondents that he would be bound by the orders of the SLP in case of the orders of the writ Court are varied but the respondents are holding up the process of sanctioning of revised building plans.

In view of above, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner that he shall furnish an undertaking/affidavit to the respondents within a period of two weeks from today whereupon the respondents shall issue the revised building plans to the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of four weeks thereafter.

January 28, 2016
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE