

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:063388



291

CRM-M-61670-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.05.2025.

Prince

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

.....

Present: Mr. Vipul Babuta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Pandher, AAG, Punjab.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

Short reply by way of an affidavit of Harjinder Singh, PPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police (South), Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, in compliance of order dated 16.01.2025 filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 6, is taken on record.

In Para No.6 of the aforesaid reply, it has been submitted that an inquiry was conducted by the office of the Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Zone-2, Ludhiana, pursuant to a representation submitted by the petitioner. During the course of the inquiry, the statements of both the parties were recorded. Upon detailed examination, it was found that the dispute between the parties pertained to ownership and possession of residential property. Respondent No.7, namely, Smt. Pushpinder Kaur, failed to produce any documentary evidence to support her claim of

ownership or to establish any sale or purchase agreement with Smt. Pooja Rani, mother of the petitioner. It was further noted that a civil suit bearing case No.CS-11540-2024 had already been filed by the petitioner before learned Civil Court at Ludhiana concerning the same subject matter. It has been further submitted that the inquiry concluded that the dispute was civil in nature, not warranting any further police intervention and accordingly the said representation was disposed of in accordance with law and was officially closed on 19.02.2025, vide No.439.

Keeping in view the above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

13.05.2025.

Komal Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No