



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRA-S-262-2025

Date of decision: 03.03.2025

RAVI

....PETITIONER

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

The instant appeal has been preferred by the appellant against the order dated 09.01.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, whereby the application for grant of anticipatory bail to the appellant in case FIR (Annexure A-1) has been dismissed. Details of FIR are as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
205	02.11.2024	190, 191 (2), 351 (2) of BNS and Section 3(1) (s) of the SCs/STs Act, 1989.	Jakhal, District Fatehabad.

2. Heard.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant contends that appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that vide order dated 17.02.2025, the appellant had been directed to join investigation and was granted interim bail. He submits that in pursuance to the aforesaid order, the appellant has joined the investigation.



4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from DSP Umed, P.S. Tohana, District Fatehabad, intimates the Court that the appellant has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. During the course of hearing on 17.02.2025, following order was passed: -

“ 3. Heard.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant, inter alia, contends that appellant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Neither any specific overt act has been attributed to the appellant, nor has he raised any castiest remarks against respondent No.2. He submits that case of the appellant is at par with that of co-accused Gaurav, who has already been granted the concession of bail in CRA-S-4125-2024.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer present in Court, has not disputed the fact that case of the appellant is at par with that of co-accused Gaurav and submits that the appellant may be asked to join the investigation.

6. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the appellant is directed to join the investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. List on 03.03.2025.”

6. After considering the rival contentions and perusing the record, it transpires that the appellant has been implicated in the instant

**CRA-S-262-2025**

3

FIR for having committed offence punishable under Section 3(1) (s) of the Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act 1989. At the same time, it is not disputed that the appellant, after having been directed vide order dated 17.02.2025, has joined the investigation. As per learned State counsel, he is not required for further investigation nor he is required for custodial interrogation of the case. This be the case, the interim bail granted to the appellant vide order dated 17.02.2025 is hereby confirmed subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. The appellant is directed to join investigation as and when required in future also by way of a written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. With these observations, the impugned order dated 09.01.2025 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, is set aside and the instant appeal stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

03.03.2025

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |