



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(231-2)

FAO No. 7336 of 2010 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.07.2025

Ramesh Kumari

...Appellant

Versus

Kala Ram and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Umesh Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

None for respondent No.3.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. As per report of the Registry, appellant has been served.
However, no one has put in appearance.

2. Further, information was duly given to learned counsel for
respondent No.3 but no one has put in appearance.

3. Since the appellant has chosen not to appear despite service,
there is no option but to dismiss the appeal for non prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

July 03, 2025

Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No