



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

329

**FAO-27-2022
Decided on:10.07.2025**

Pawan Kumar Bhardwaj

. . . Appellant

Versus

Swarn Singh and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. As per the report of the registry, notice could not be issued to the respondents due to non-filing of process fee.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the appellant.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.
5. Registry is directed to send the copy of the present order to the appellant on the address mentioned in the memo of parties.

10.07.2025

Mehak

*Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**