

**CRWP-6264-2025 (O&M)****1****117 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-6264-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.06.2025****POOJA AND ANR****.....PETITIONERS****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Monu Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**CRM-W-838-2025**

This application has ben filed under Rule 3-A (I) Chapter VI Part B, Vol.V of the High Court Rules and Orders for grant of leave to file the present petition.

Allowed as prayed for.

Main case:

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No. 4 to 6. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents No. 4 to 6 and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents No. 4 to 6. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents No. 4 to 6. Resultantly, a representation dated 09.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to



respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Narnaul wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no steps have been taken to come to the rescue of the petitioners. Learned counsel submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Narnaul to look into their representation/complaint dated 09.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 Superintendent of Police, Narnaul to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

12.06.2025

remu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No