



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 18611 of 2025

Date of Decision : 08.07.2025

Navraj Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Dhivya Jerath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the benefit of appearing in the 2nd year examination of B.V.Sc and A.H. has been given to one Pankaj Kumar, who was similarly situated as the petitioner qua the attendance of Lectures, the petitioner should also be treated in the same manner so as to avoid discrimination between both the students hence, the petitioner wants to move the representation to respondent No. 2-University and the respondent No. 2-University be directed to decide the said representation within a period of three days.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State as well as University and submits that in case, any representation is received at the hands of the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with law



by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of three days of the receipt of any such representation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-State, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file representation.

5. Ordered accordingly.

July 08, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No