



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

264-2

CWP-29073-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:22.07.2025

Rudra Pal

... Petitioner

V/S

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran
Nigam Limited and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Tandon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate,
Mr. Arnav Goel, Advocate,
Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate for the respondents.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the respondents has placed on record a copy of office order bearing No. 82 dated 18.07.2025, to submit that both the impugned orders have been reviewed and a compensation of Rs.9,09,960/- has been granted in terms of the compensation policy dated 08.07.2019. A copy of the order has been supplied to counsel for the petitioner, who submits that the compensation granted is inadequate.
2. Be that as it may. As both the impugned orders have been reviewed by the respondent authorities, prayer made in the instant petition has become infructuous.
3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
4. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to assail the order passed by the respondents, if so advised.

22.07.2025
pooja saini

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>