

CRM-M-63626-2024

:1:

2025-PHHC-028183



206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63626-2024
Date of decision:27.02.2025

CHARAN SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having DDR No.33 dated 23.11.2024 registered under Sections 118(2), 117(2), 115(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran in FIR No.481 dated 10.11.2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 118(2), 351(1), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Goindwal Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

2. On the last date of hearing, it was brought to the notice of this Court that the instant case involves version as well as cross-version and the main case having FIR No.481 dated 10.11.2024 was registered on



the basis of statement of Manjinder Singh son of the present petitioner and further the present petitioner sustained seven injuries at the hands of opposite party and as per cross-version present petitioner caused grievous injury on the right shoulder of Savinder Singh with the help of wooden danda. Resultantly, the petitioner was granted interim bail with direction to join investigation, by this Court vide order dated 09.01.2025.

3. Today, counsel for the petitioner made statement that in compliance of the previous order dated 09.01.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation with the police.

4. Counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant submits that the present petitioner belongs to aggressor party and he caused grievous injury to Savinder Singh.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions from Inspector Prabhjit Singh has not disputed the aforesaid assertions made by counsel for the petitioner and further submits that the recovery of weapon used by the petitioner in commission of crime is effected and petitioner is no longer required by the police for the purpose of further investigation or custodial interrogation.

6. As the present case involves version as well as cross-version and the aggressor party will be ascertained only during the trial. It also appears on the record that at the time of occurrence the present petitioner also suffered seven injuries at the hands of opposite party and further the weapon used by the petitioner at the time of occurrence stands recovered

CRM-M-63626-2024

:3:

2025.PHHC:028183



and furthermore the petitioner is no more required by the police for the purpose of further investigation. In the given circumstances, no purpose is going to be served even if the petitioner is subjected to custodial interrogation at this point of time.

7. In light of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and order of interim bail dated 09.01.2025 is hereby made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

27.02.2025

Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No