

COCP-1425-2022

Date of Decision :16.08.2022

Anjum Hussain

...Petitioner

versus

Dr. Sandeep Chhabra

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Afjal Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 16.12.2021, in CWP-25660-2021.
2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP-25660-2021, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide representation dated 07.01.2021, filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.
3. At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent states that decision on the representation of the petitioner to enter his name in the register of Haryana State Pharmacy Council, could not be taken for want of verification of the pharmacy degree of the petitioner by the concerned university but now the university concerned had verified the petitioner having been awarded the degree of pharmacy, as had been produced by the petitioner before the authorities and that meeting of the council is scheduled for 23.08.2022, on which date, needful would be done. The

same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition and the same may be disposed of as such, while directing the respondents to ensure decision on his representation dated 07.01.2021, in the meeting of the council scheduled for 23.08.2022 and to take follow up action in terms thereof and in case of failure of the respondent to do the needful, liberty be granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the respondent to ensure adherence to the assurance as held out by the learned AAG, Haryana to decide representation of the petitioner dated 07.01.2021, in the meeting of the council scheduled to be held on 23.08.2022 and to take follow up action in respect thereto, if any, as warranted as per law, within two weeks thereafter. Needless to mention, the petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, in the eventuality of needful not being done, within the stipulated period of time.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.08.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No