



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(123)

CWP No. 965 of 2025

Date of Decision : 16.01.2025

Amrit Parkash Singh Dhillon

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit which has been given to all the other similarly situated employees keeping in view the judgment of this Court in CWP No. 15731 of 1991 titled as ***Kulbir Bhushan Goel and others Vs. State of Punjab and others***, decided on 03.07.2014 but, the claim of the petitioner is not being accepted and that too without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 25.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Akshita Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 25.10.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

January 16, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No