



LPA-1461-2025 (O&M)

Page 1 of 2

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

LPA-1461-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2025

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

. . . . Appellants

Vs.

MAHABIR AND OTHERS

. . . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Present appeal is an offshoot of the cases relating to claim of regularization under the 2003 policy.
2. In the bunch of appeals preferred by the State with lead case of *LPA No.105 of 2025 titled as 'State of Haryana and other vs. Damyanti, decided on 14.05.2025*, we have passed the following order:

"1. All these matters relate to the issue on two aspects, one is with regard to grant of the benefit of Old Pension Scheme to the writ-petitioners and the other is with regard to giving them the benefit of regularization Policy dated 01.10.2003 without considering whether they have worked for 240 days in each of the three years preceding the date of Policy.

2.Relying on the law, a Division Bench of this Court passed an order in LPA No.2356 of 2024 decided on 26.09.2024 titled as "State of Haryana and others vs. Sher Singh" holding the concerned writ-petitioners entitled for the benefit as claimed by them noticed above.

3.However, we have taken note of the fact that with regard to the same set of cases, certain SLPs were preferred before the Supreme Court one of them is Special



LPA-1461-2025 (O&M)

Page 2 of 2

Leave to Appeal (C) No.112/2025 tilted as “State of Haryana & Ors. vs. Sushil” and the other is having Diary No.56458/2024 whereas in the meantime, the Apex Court stayed the operation and effect of the judgment. We, therefore, are of the view that we should await the final judgment to be rendered by Hon’ble the Supreme Court in these cases and the present appeals, which many of them are highly belated, need not be kept pending before us.

4. Accordingly, we dispose of all these appeals with observations that whatever is the final verdict rendered by Hon’ble the Supreme Court in SLP No.112/2025 shall govern the fate to all the respondents herein. It is made clear that till disposal of the said SLP, no contempt proceedings would be initiated on account of non-implementation of the order of the learned Single Judge. At the same time, the claim of the writ-petitioners would also not be frustrated if the order is ultimately passed against the State by Hon’ble the Supreme Court.

5. All the pending misc. application(s) in each case also stand disposed of.”

3. In view of the above, present appeal stands **disposed of**. The order passed above shall apply *mutatis mutandis* to the instant case.
4. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

15.05.2025

Mohit goyal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No