

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

243

ARB-44-2023

Date of Decision:24.01.2024

M/S RAVI SINGLA ENGINEERS AND CONTRACTOR

... Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act') for appointment of a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties arising out of construction of parallel bridge on Yamuna Creek on approach road from Barasat to Garhi Bharel, District Karnal.

2. Faced with an objection by the respondents that the petitioner has not exhausted the pre-reference remedy available in terms of the contract, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition to file a fresh petition after taking recourse to the same.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

4. It shall be open to the State to take all the objections as permissible in accordance with law in case a fresh petition is filed.

24.01.2024
pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No