



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

211/2

\*\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M No.17262 of 2025  
Date of decision : 24.9.2025**

**Harsimran Kaur Sachdeva** .....Petitioner

**Versus**

**State of Haryana** .....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Mr. Manoj Pundir, Advocate and  
Mr. Puneet Munjal, Advocate, for the petitioner  
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana

---

**SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)**

1. On 21.4.2025, the following order was passed:

*'By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -*

*FIR No. Dated Sections Police Station*

<i><b>FIR No.</b></i>	<i><b>Dated</b></i>	<i><b>Sections</b></i>	<i><b>Police Station</b></i>
203	4.9.2024	420 and 120-B of IPC	Sector 14, Panchkula District Panchkula

*2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner having no criminal antecedents has been falsely implicated in this case. He contends that the petitioner had not committed any fraud but has been falsely roped in by the complainant. He contends that the complainant have transferred the amount in question in the account of the co-accused, Sachin verma, from where it was transferred to the account of the petitioner, being the employee of the builder company. He contends that the petitioner is not the beneficiary of any such transaction. Hence, the instant petition.*

*3. Notice of motion.*



4. *On the asking of the Court, Mr. Praveen Bhadu AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.*

5. *Adjourned to 14.05.2025.*

6. *Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite.*

7. *In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed, till the next date of hearing.*

8. *Investigating Officer (concerned) to remain present in Court along with relevant records on the date fixed.'*

2. On 8.9.2025, the following order was passed in the present petition and in connected petition bearing CRM-M No.14003 of 2025:

*'Complainant (appearing through V.C.) has been identified by the Investigating Officer, SI Karnail Singh.*

*Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as also the complainant (who appears in person through V.C.) are ad idem that there may be chances of amicable settlement.*

*In view of the above, the parties are directed to appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court on 12.09.2025 under "Special Mediation Drive-Mediation 'For the Nation". The complainant is at liberty to appear through V.C.*

*Put up on 19.09.2025 alongwith report of the Mediator.*

*Interim order to continue.*

*Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case(s).'*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as also the complainant-Taruna Mishra (who appears in person through VC and has been identified by Investigating Officer-SI Karnail Singh) submits that they have settled the dispute amicably, terms whereof have been reduced into writing vide settlement/compromise deed dated 15.9.2025 (attached in CRM-M No.14003 of 2025) before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.



3.1 Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over a demand draft bearing No.294820 dated 26.8.2025 for an amount of ₹3,38,890/- to the Investigating Officer of the case, who shall ensure that the same is transmitted onwards to the complainant or her authorised person. Photocopy of the demand draft is placed on record (in CRM-M No.14003 of 2025).

4. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 21.4.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

**24.9.2025**

*Ashwani*

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No