

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDI GARH

ARB-4-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 18.03.2016

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Shri Baldev Singh and others . . . Respondents

ARB-5-2014 (O&M)

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Ratni Devi and others . . . Respondents

ARB-6-2014 (O&M)

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Shri Ishwar Singh and another . . . Respondents

ARB-7-2014 (O&M)

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Shri Dharam Pal and others . . . Respondents

ARB-8-2014 (O&M)

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Shri Raghbir Singh and another . . . Respondents

ARB-9-2014 (O&M)

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Karam Singh . . . Respondent

ARB-10-2014 (O&M)

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd. . . . Petitioner

Versus

Shri Hukum Singh and others . . . Respondents

M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.	<u>ARB-11-2014 (O&M)</u>
	... Petitioner
Versus	
Smt. Panmeshwari Devi and others	... Respondents
M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.	<u>ARB-12-2014 (O&M)</u>
	... Petitioner
Versus	
Surat Singh and others	... Respondents
M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.	<u>ARB-13-2014 (O&M)</u>
	... Petitioner
Versus	
Sh. Dile Ram	... Respondent
M/s Ansal Housing & Construction Ltd.	<u>ARB-14-2014 (O&M)</u>
	... Petitioner
Versus	
Shri Praveen Singh and another	... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: - Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. V. K. Jindal, Senior Advocate,
with Mr. Amardeep Sheoran, Advocate,
for the respondent(s),
in ARBs-4-5-7-9-10-11 & 12-2014.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate,
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the respondent(s) in ARBs-6 & 13-2014.

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate,
for the respondent(s) in ARBs-8 & 14-2014.

* * * *

S. J. VAZIFDAR, A. C. J. (ORAL)

The facts, so far as they are relevant to these petitions under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 for the appointment of an arbitrator are

concerned, are similar. They are, therefore, disposed of by this common order and judgement.

2. The parties had entered into a collaboration agreement clause 39 whereof contains an arbitration agreement. Disputes and differences have arisen between the parties thereunder. The petitioner has invoked the arbitration clause. The petitioner claims a refund of the amount(s) paid by it under the agreement. I am not concerned with the merits of the disputes.

3. The petitions are, therefore, disposed of by appointing Mr. Justice Mukul Mudgal, former Chief Justice of this Court, as the sole arbitrator in each of the petitions. It is clarified that the references are separate and separate awards are to be passed in each of them.

The venue of arbitration shall be the Chandigarh Arbitration Centre or as decided by the Learned arbitrator.

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

18.03.2016

Anodh