



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

[114]

CRWP-10214-2025

Date of decision: 22.09.2025

Guddi Kumari and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA**

Present: Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate for  
Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**SUBHAS MEHLA, J (Oral):**

1. The prayer in the instant criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 & 3 to take an appropriate action against respondents No.4 to 8, who are harassing and threatening the petitioners and family of petitioners by interfering in their peaceful life and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have solemnized marriage and in this regard, a marriage certificate and their marriage photographs are annexed as Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4 with the petition, respectively. He further submitted that parents, brothers and sisters of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondents No. 4 to 8, are not happy with their marriage. In this regard, they have moved a representation dated 10.09.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), District Amritsar, but no action has still been taken thereon.

3. Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab, who appeared on advance notice, on behalf of respondent No.1-State of Punjab, submitted, on instructions from ASI Balkar Singh, that the statements of the petitioners have been recorded before the police wherein they stated that there is no apprehension of threat to their lives and liberty and they do not require any police protection.



CRWP-10214-2025

[2]

4. Heard.

5. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel on instruction from ASI Balkar Singh, the present petition is disposed of with a direction that if there is any threat perception to the lives and liberty of the present petitioners, they may approach the authority concerned.

6. In this regard, this Court has already given the directions in **Asha and another vs. State of Haryana and another, CWP No.6717 of 2009, decided on 25.07.2012** and in compliance of the same, the State Government had taken steps to protect the life and liberty of run away couple.

7. Apart from that the State of Punjab vide notification dated 23.01.2025 also notified the SOPs for the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners in similar situations, in compliance of the Criminal Writ Petition No.12562 of 2023 titled as “**Kajal Vs. State of Haryana and others**”.

8. In the light of the above directions, the petitioners are directed to approach the concerned authorities.

9. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)  
JUDGE

**September 22. 2025**

Anjal

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No