



CWP-30958-2024

:1:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

287

**CWP-30958-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.01.2025**

RAGHAV DHIMAN

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

THE SECRETARY, CBSE DELHI AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Ms. Muskan Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B. S. Seemar, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

2. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the name of his parents should be corrected so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice hereinafter.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the actual name of the father of the petitioner is 'Lalit Kumar' though, the name has been written as 'Lalit Dhiman' which including the surname being used but as, all the certificates of the father are in the name of 'Lalit Kumar' as (Annexure P-4), petitioner intended to that father's name in the certificates issued to the



CWP-30958-2024

:2:

petitioner in the mark sheet should also depict the same. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the name of the mother of the petitioner is 'Tara Rani' though, she was using the name as 'Tarun' which is her nick name and recorded in the school record. Learned counsel submits that as there is a discrepancy occurred, now keeping in view the record, the name of the mother as Tara Rani in her record (Annexure P-5), the petitioner is suffering prejudice.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner approached the respondents but the respondents failed to correct the same and directed that the petitioner should get an order from the Court for the rectification of the name.

5. Upon reply, the respondents have submitted that the names which were sent by the school authorities to the Central Board of Secondary Education were depicted in the marksheet hence, there is no change of the name at the hands of the Central Board of Secondary Education.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that as of now, nothing has come on record that the name of the father of the petitioner is 'Lalit Kumar' and the name of the mother of the petitioner is 'Tara Rani' is incorrect in any manner.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

8. Once, the claim of the petitioner is genuine and no *mala fide* has been attached to the same, the respondent-board should come to the rescue of the candidate so that he does not suffer any prejudice in the rest of

**CWP-30958-2024****:3:**

his life. Respondent-Central Board of Secondary Education is directed that in case no *mala fide* or mischief is involved in the change of the name of the parents of the petitioner, the name of the parents of the petitioner be changed as 'Lalit Kumar' in case of the father and 'Tara Rani' in case of mother.

9. In case, any mischief is noticed, then the Central Board of Secondary Education will be within its jurisdiction to decide appropriately qua the claim being raised by the petitioner. The needful be done within a period of four weeks of the receipt of copy of this order.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

16.01.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No