

2025:PHHC:073434-DB



CM-8528-CWP-2025, CM-8529-CWP-2025,  
CM-8530-CWP-2025, RA-CW-257-2025 in  
CWP-20790-2016

Date of Decision: 28.05.2025

Chandigarh Administration and another ...Appellant  
Vs.

Central Administrative Tribunal and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India  
Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Advocate, Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate  
with Mr. Abhishek Premi, Advocate Mr. Sahil Garg, Advocate  
and Ms. Avneet Kaur, Advocate for the review applicants.

\*\*\*

**SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)**

1. Heard learned senior counsel at length.
2. Having gone through the detailed judgment passed by us and after perusing the subsequent judgments pronounced by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Jaggo vs. Union of India and others, 2024 INSC 1034** and **Shripal and another Nagar Nigam, Ghaziabad 2025 INSC 144**, we do not find any reason to review our order.
3. In view of the above, the review application is dismissed.
4. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)  
JUDGE**

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)  
JUDGE**

28.05.2025  
rajesh

- |                               |   |        |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable?        | : | Yes/No |