



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-10987-2025**

**Date of Decision: 10.03.2025**

**JATINDER SINGH**

....Petitioner(s)

**VERSUS**

**SRI RAM TRANSPORT FINANCE CO. LTD. THROUGH ITS  
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE**

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)**

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, is for quashing of order dated 29.01.2025 (Annexure P11) passed by Id Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Amritsar, in the proceedings emanating out of trial in case NACT/1999/2020, titled as '*Sri Ram Transport Co. Ltd. vs. Jatinder Singh etc*', pending adjudication for 27.02.2025.

2. By referring to the order dated 29.01.2025 (Annexure P-11), whereby application for examining the Clerk RTO, Jalandhar, as witness has been dismissed, counsel for the petitioner submits that by taking a sympathetic view, in case, he is granted only one opportunity, his grievance would be satisfied.

3. On being asked by the Court, counsel submits that next date fixed before the trial Court for arguments is 19.03.2025.



CRM-M-10987-2025

2

4. I have examined the order dated 29.01.2025 and find that statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C., had been recorded on 06.03.2024 and, thereafter, number of times, opportunity was granted to produce the defence evidence. Even at one of the occasion, chance was given, subject to the payment of cost of Rs.500/-, which even was not paid by the petitioner.

5. This Court is of the view that to avoid eruption of any doubt to satisfy the whims of accused, let his wish be satisfied by giving him one more chance, however, not beyond 19.03.2025, when the proceedings already fixed before the Court.

6. It is also made clear that it will entirely be the responsibility of the petitioner /accused to produce the concerned witness on **19.03.2025**, and if any other step is to be taken, let the same be taken by him in advance to the date fixed. However, it is made clear that any cost amount is due to be paid by the petitioner, that would be deposited by him prior to the date already fixed.

7. Learned trial Court would also ensure that the petitioner is afforded one effective opportunity for leading the evidence which he desires to lead before the Court, in support of his defence and then the final adjudication of the trial can be postponed by it for any date convenient to it.

8. Accordingly, present petition stands **disposed of** with the aforementioned observations.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 10, 2025**

Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No