

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

147

RSA-INCOMP-677-2025  
Date of Decision: 23.04.2025

Patti L. Hartel

...Appellant

V/s

Rajeev Kumar

...Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: None.

\*\*\*

**VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)**

1. The aforesaid petition was filed on 21.12.2024 by Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate. However, certain objections were raised by the Registry.
2. As per Rule 9, Chapter I, Part A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition, on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to re-file within a period of 40 days. However, the same was not taken back for refiling after removal of objections. On failure to do the needful, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.
3. Even after the publication of the note requesting the Advocate to collect the case for re-filing after rectification of objections, the needful was not done. Consequently, the matter has been listed before this Court in urgent list today.
4. No one has appeared on behalf of the appellant.
5. In view of the aforementioned facts, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE**

**April 23, 2025**  
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No