



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-6725-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 22.04.2025**

**Naina Verma**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Union Territory and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN**

Present: Ms. Amarjit Kaur, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.  
(Through V.C.)

Mr. Parveen Chander, Advocate,  
for Mr. Gagandeep S. Wasu, Advocate,  
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

\*\*\*

**SUDHIR SINGH (ORAL)**

By way of the present writ petition, the petitioner has sought issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide her representation for calculation of the enhanced amount along with interest, as per the judgment dated 22.11.2023 passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Chandigarh Housing Board vs. Naresh Kant**, Civil Appeal No.2849 of 2009. A further prayer has been made for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to issue 'No Dues Certificate' to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already made a representation dated 27.12.2024 (Annexure P-10) to the competent authority for

redressal of her grievances, but no action has been taken thereon till date. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the respondent authorities are directed to deal with her concerns/grievances and pass appropriate order on the said representation.

3. Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Parveen Chander, Advocate, for Mr. Gagandeep S. Wasu, Advocate, for respondent Nos.2 and 3, is present in Court and submits that the said representation/application shall be considered and decided by the competent authority, in accordance with law.

4. Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Chairman, Chandigarh Housing Board (competent authority), to look into the pending representation/application dated 27.12.2024 (Annexure P-10) of the petitioner and pass an appropriate reasoned order thereon, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy/production of this order.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUDHIR SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**(ALOK JAIN)**  
**JUDGE**

22.04.2025  
Ajay Prasher

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No  
*Whether reportable* : Yes/No