



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH  
(113)**

**CRM-M-55840-2025 (O & M)**

**Reserved on:08.10.2025**

**Date of Pronouncement: 09.10.2025**

Parneet Kaur and ors.

... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Sahir Singh Virk, Advocate, with  
Ms. Naveender PK Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Saurav Dogra, Advocate,  
for respondents No.6 to 13. .

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 BNSS is for transfer of investigation of the case/FIR No.100 dated 03.03.2022 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 294, 149 IPC, Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1) to the CBI with a further prayer that proceedings in the cross-case bearing DDR No.60 dated 03.03.2022, under Sections 323, 427 and 34 Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar, Punjab (Annexure P-6) be stayed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner No.1-Parneet Kaur wife of Harwinder Singh (respondent No.6) got registered an FIR



No.100 dated 03.03.2022 under Sections 323, 341, 506, 294, 149 IPC, Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1) against the private respondents No.6 to 13. In the said case, the report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(2) BNSS) stands submitted.

3. At the instance of Harwinder Singh-respondent No.6, a cross-case bearing DDR No.60 dated 03.03.2022, under Sections 323, 452, 427 and 34, Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar, Punjab (Annexure P-6) initially came to be registered against petitioners No.1 to 3, namely, Parneet Kaur, Bikramjit Singh Bhullar and Pamelpreet Kaur and their family including their mother which was later found baseless by the Punjab State Human Rights Commission. During the enquiry, Section 452 IPC was dropped and the names of petitioners No.1 and 3, namely, Parneet Kaur and Pamelpreet Kaur and their mother were removed. However, petitioner No.2-Bikramjit Singh Bhullar was kept as an accused in the aforesaid DDR under Sections 323, 427 and 34 IPC in which the final report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(2) BNSS) is yet to be submitted.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the investigating agency has not conducted the investigation in the main case as also in the cross-case in a fair and impartial manner. The Punjab State Human Rights Commission has found multiple police officials guilty of gross misconduct, negligence of duty and collusion with the accused. Various relevant offences ought to have been added in FIR No.100 dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-1) which has not been done so. The cross-case bearing DDR No.60 dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-6) has been registered incorrectly on account of the collusion of the police with the accused in the



main case bearing FIR No.100 dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-1). Therefore, the present petition be allowed and the investigation be handed over to the CBI.

5. The learned counsels for the private respondents No.6 to 13 and the State, on the other hand, contend that the report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(2) BNSS) stands presented only in the main case bearing FIR No.100 dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-1) in which the complainant is Parneet Kaur (petitioner No.1). In the cross-case bearing DDR No.60 dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-6), no challan has yet been submitted and an application under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(9) BNSS) for further investigation has been filed by Harwinder Singh-respondent No.6. Therefore, the present petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. Admittedly, in FIR No.100 dated 03.03.2022 (Annexure P-1), the challan/report under Section 173(2) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(2) BNSS) came to be submitted against the private respondents No.6 to 13 at the instance of the complainant-petitioner No.1/Parneet Kaur. A cross-version was registered at the instance of Harwinder Singh (respondent No.6) in which the challan has not yet been submitted. However, an application for further investigation under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(9) BNSS) has been moved before the Trial Court which is pending adjudication.

8. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with the directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali to investigate the matter in case any order for further investigation is passed by the Court



concerned in the application under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. (Section 193(9) BNSS).

9. The pending application(s), if any, shall stands disposed of accordingly.

**( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )**  
**JUDGE**

**October 09, 2025**  
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No