

214-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**COCP No.1756 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 27.09.2019**

Chander Mohan and another

Petitioners

Versus

Vikas Gupta, IAS, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula and others

Respondents

**COCP No.1465 of 2019**

Joginder Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Dr. D. Suresh, IAS, Chief Administrator, HSVP, Panchkula and others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

Present: Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate  
for the petitioners [in COCP No.1756 of 2018].

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate  
for the petitioner [in COCP No.1465 of 2019].

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate  
for the respondents [in COCP No.1756 of 2018].

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate  
for the respondents [in COCP No.1465 of 2019].

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):**

These two contempt petitions have been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 16.02.2017 passed in CWP

No.6659 of 2011. These are being disposed of by a common order.

The relevant portion of the order dated 16.02.2017 is reproduced as under:-

*“Since the plea taken by the petitioner is essentially a question of fact, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the Administrator, HUDA and Estate Officer, HUDA Rewari to verify the same and wherever it is found that plots have been allotted to ineligible persons, necessary correctional measures be taken within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.*

*However, if the private respondents are found eligible and entitled to, the possession of plots shall be delivered to them without further delay.”*

Replies by way of affidavit of Mr. Deepak, Estate Officer, HSVP, Rewari have been filed in both the contempt petitions, same are taken on record. Copy of replies are handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned counsel(s) for the respondents state that petitioners have been allotted plots and even letter for taking over possession has also been issued.

In view of replies filed, learned counsel(s) for the petitioners state that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, they seek liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if grievance still survives.

The contempt petitions are disposed of with liberty as

prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE**

**September 27, 2019**

*pankaj baweja*

- |                               |   |          |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable         | : | Yes / No |