



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-47342-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 24.09.2025

CHETAN @ CHETAN SHARMA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Prashant Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.64 dated 28.03.2025, registered at Police Station Sector-6, Dharuhera, under Sections 108 and 3(5) of BNS.

2. On 27.08.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that from the alleged suicide note as also the contents of the FIR, the offence of abetment is not made out. That apart, neither any mode nor positive act of instigation or harassing the deceased to commit suicide, has been stated. The lodging of FIR in the year 2024 by the deceased against the petitioner and his family members, was not followed by any complaint and even in the present FIR, there is no reference of the earlier one and that he was trying to pressurize the deceased to settle the matter. Moreover, the authenticity of the suicide note is yet to be ascertained as there is no report of handwriting expert. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. B.S.Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the

satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 24.09.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from PSI Anish Kumar, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.08.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

24.09.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No