



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CRM-M-45468-2025

Date of Decision : 26.08.2025

AJIT SINGH

.... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Kamal Deep Sehra, Advocate
for the petitioner (through VC).

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.281 dated 04.11.2020, under Sections 302 and 328 of IPC registered at Police Station Faridabad.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since 06.08.2025. Earlier he was granted bail on merits in the present case but he failed to appear before the Court on 02.06.2025 and his bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State. Thereafter, he surrendered before the Court on 06.08.2025 and moved an application for grant of bail which was rejected. Learned counsel further contended that the petitioner is ready to abide by all terms and conditions if he is released on bail.
3. Notice of motion.



4. Mr. Satbir Singh Goripuria, DAG, Haryana, accepted the notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposed the bail petition on the ground that three other cases are pending against the present petitioner and due to his non appearance the trial has not progressed. He, therefore, prayed for dismissal of the petition

5. Heard.

6. The petitioner was earlier granted bail on merits in the present FIR but he failed to appear before the Court on the date fixed and his bail bonds and surety bonds were cancelled and forfeited to the State but it is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that he himself surrendered before the trial Court. So, in view of the fact that the trial will take time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, the present petition is accepted. The trial Court is directed to release the petitioner on bail after imposing conditions as deemed appropriate to secure his presence. It is further directed that in case the petitioner absents himself in future and his non-appearance hampers the progress of the trial, the trial Court shall be at liberty to take necessary steps in accordance with law

7. Disposed of.

26.08. 2025

Anju

**(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No