

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP no.1039 of 2006 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision :26.02.2016**

Kusum Lata

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

R.S.Gujral and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. AG, Haryana

**MAHESH GROVER, J.**

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner even though intimation of the actual date was given to the counsel for the parties.

The petitioner alleges violation of the orders of the writ Court where one of the directions was that services of the guest faculty Lecturers should not be terminated till regular appointments are made on minimum of the pay scales.

Reply has been filed to state that the petition is mis-conceived as the petitioner was not a part of the guest faculty arrangement when such directions were given on 20.3.2006. The petitioner was engaged as guest faculty Lecturer on 16.10.2006. The directions of the writ Court were given in March, 2006. If the petitioner joined service after the disposal of the writ petition, she may have other remedies to enforce her right but contempt petition would not be the appropriate remedy. Consequently, instant contempt petition is dismissed being without any substance.

February 26, 2016  
rekha

**(Mahesh Grover)**  
**Judge**