



CRM-M-54376-2025

- 1 -

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

241

**CRM-M-54376-2025  
Date of decision: 30.09.2025**

ANIKET ALIAS ANY

...Petitioner

**Versus**

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present : Mr. M.R. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Jindal, AAG, Punjab.

**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)**

1. Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.80 dated 13.07.2025, under Sections 25 of Arms Act, 1959 and Section 61(2) and 111 of BNS, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Batala.
2. As per prosecution case, the police party headed by ASI Palwinder Singh was going to Village Khojawal in connection with patrolling duty when a secret information was received to the effect that Sikandar Singh alias Gola, Lovepreet Singh alias Love, Onkar Singh and Gagandeep Singh alongwith two unknown persons are present near Cheema Hockey Academy and they are in possession of illegal weapons and they are associated with Jaggu Bhanwanpuria group. They are planning to execute some crime and in case, a raid is conducted, they could be caught red handed. Offences under Sections 25 of Arms Act, 1959 read with Section 61(2) of BNS, 2023 were found to be made out and ruqa was sent for registration of FIR. Thereafter, police party reached at the disclosed



**CRM-M-54376-2025**

- 2-

placed from where Sikandar Singh alias Gola, Lovepreet Singh alias Love, Onkar Singh and Gagandeep Singh were apprehended and two pistols alongwith some live cartridges were allegedly recovered from them. Lovepreet Singh alias Love disclosed in his disclosure statement that Sarabjeet Kaur and her husband Harwinder Singh Kahlon are suppliers of the weapons. On interrogation of Gagandeep Singh, he suffered a disclosure statement and nominated Ravi and Allen David @ Alias Masih and Prabhjot as accused and DDR No.8 dated 17.07.2025 was recorded in this regard. Thereafter, present petitioner Aniket along with Deepakjit Gill were nominated as accused vide DDR No.34 dated 24.07.2025 and four live cartridges were recovered from the possession of the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has merely been arrested on the basis of some secret information that he belongs to a gang of criminals but there is no such history of his involvement in any other case. Four live cartridges were recovered from his possession. Even the role of the petitioner has not been disclosed by the police. Learned counsel next contended that co-accused namely Deepakjit Gill has already been released on regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 25.09.2025, passed in CRM-M-53232-2025. Petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2025. Learned counsel further contended that the investigation and trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and



**CRM-M-54376-2025**

- 3-

argued that in view of the gravity of the offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

7. No weapon has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Co-accused namely Deepakjit Gill has already been released on regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 25.09.2025, passed in CRM-M-53232-2025. Petitioner is in custody since 24.07.2025. Investigation and trial will certainly take a long time to conclude and no useful purpose shall be served by keeping him in custody any more.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

**(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)**  
**JUDGE**

**30.09.2025**  
**amandeep**

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No