



Court vide order dated 29.02.2024 passed in CRM-M-48043-2023 titled Rakesh Das vs. State of Haryana and another:-

"(i) Whether, bearing in mind the repercussions attached to partial quashing of FIR, on the trial of other co-accused, can a partial compromise yet ably constitute the ground for quashing of FIR, only qua some of the accused?

(ii) Would partial quashing of criminal proceedings, on the strength of partial compromise, elevate the status of victim from that of a stakeholder to that of a driver of the criminal justice system?"

5. The present petition involves similar question of law, as such the present petition is adjourned sine die awaiting the decision of the larger Bench on the aforesaid questions in CRM-M-48043-2023 titled Rakesh Das vs. State of Haryana and another."

3. The Division Bench of this Court in Rakesh Das (Supra) has held that partial quashing of FIR is not maintainable.

4. As per report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Sri Anandpur Sahib dated 09.04.2024, co-accused Davinder Kaur @ Raja has been declared proclaimed offender vide order dated 27.07.2018.

5. Learned State counsel submits that out of 20 witnesses, 7 witnesses have been examined.

6. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

13.01.2025

renu

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No