



CRM-M-2016-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-2016-2025
Decided on : 25.04.2025

Jaspreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Shivender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. I.S. Kooner, Advocate
for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.250 dated 03.12.2024, under Sections 109, 117(2), 333, 191(3) and 190 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

2. On 13.02.2025, following order was passed:

“1. In the present anticipatory bail petition, on 16.01.2025, following order was passed:

Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner namely Jaspsreet Singh, aged about 22 years, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.250, dated 03.12.2024, under Section(s) 109, 117(2), 333, 191(3) and 190 of the BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

2. Counsel for the petitioner contends that on 20.11.2024, one complaint was moved by the petitioner to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fatehgarh Sahib, apprehending danger to his life and liberty, and he had also deposited an amount of Rs.5 lacs in the account of the wife of complainant – Daljit Singh. Thereafter, only allegation against the petitioner is that on the day of the incident, he pulled the complainant outside the car, and then accompanying with the other accused, attacked on the complainant in which no specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner.



3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On advance notice, Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent/State, and Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kooner, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and files his power of attorney in Court today, which is taken on record.*

5. *Learned State counsel, on instructions, informs that there are three injuries as of now, but unable to tell the nature of injuries suffered by the injured. Though, the Doctor of Government Hospital who initially examined the injured, referred him to Sector 32, Government Medical College and Hospital, but the injured preferred to go to some private hospital. The Doctor of the private Hospital has opined two fractures in both the legs.*

6. *Counsel for the complainant submits that in fact, 15 fractures are suffered in one leg, and more than 10 fractures in the other leg and three serious injuries on the head, also. He also relies upon the CCTV footage, where the petitioner also appears.*

7. *List again on 21.01.2025.*

8. *Let the Investigating Officer would remain present along with record, after obtaining necessary opinion from the concerned doctor, on the next date of hearing before this Court.”*

2. *On 21.01.2025, matter was adjourned for 30.01.2025, and on that day, following order was passed:*

“Present: Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amandeep S. Samra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. I.S. Cooner, Advocate and Mr. M.P.S. Chahal, Advocate for the complainant.

On request of learned State counsel, adjourned to 03.02.2025.

3. *Thereafter, on 03.02.2025, following order was passed:*

Present: Mr. Shivender, Advocate for

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. I.S. Kooner, Advocate and


Mr. M.P.S. Chahal, Advocate

for the complainant.

This is third time when learned counsel representing the petitioner seeks time to ascertain the injuries suffered by the complainant and the medical opinion/report thereof.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 10.02.2025 to enable him to do so.

In the meanwhile, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. However, the petitioner deserves to join



CRM-M-2016-2025

3

investigation or not shall be examined on the next date of hearing after examining the medical report.

4. *Today again, no medical report is produced before this Court. Once again, time is sought by learned State counsel.*

5. *Purely, in the interest of justice, one last opportunity is granted.*

6. *List on 12.03.2025.*

Let the complete medical report be produced in Court on the next date of hearing, failing which, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, shall remain present in Court.

Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing only.”

3. Learned State counsel after being confirmed from ASI Baldev Singh, submits that earlier information, stating that there are a total of 15 fractures is, infact, incorrect. However, he submits that nailing of both the legs has been performed which is evident from the medical certificate dated 26.03.2025 prepared by Dr. Kulwinder Singh Shah, JP Hospital, Zirakpur. He further points out that both legs were fractured and since name of the petitioner and the allegation of breaking both legs is already there in FIR, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail

4. I have examined the allegations and find that there is a direct allegation naming the petitioner of assaulting the complainant, Daljit Singh and as per the medical certificate, both the legs of the complainant were fractured. Subsequently, the legs were operated on and nailing was done. Therefore, no ground for anticipatory bail is made out, accordingly present petition is dismissed.

25.04.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO