



222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2529-2025

Date of Decision: April 28, 2025

SURENDER PAL

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Rahul, Advocate for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present civil revision petition is for issuance of direction to the learned Executing Court to decide the execution application bearing EXE/673/2024 titled as "***Surender Pal vs. State of Haryana and Others***" within a time bound manner period.
2. Admittedly, land measuring 160 square yards comprised in Rect. No.50, Killa No.10/1/13 (measuring 0K-5M-2Sarsai) situated in the revenue estate of Village Choma, Tehsil and District Gurugram was acquired for the public purpose namely, development of 150 meter periphery road from Kherki Daula to Dwarka, New Delhi followed by an award dated 23.12.2009.
3. Feeling dissatisfied, the landowners filed petitions under Section 18 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 before the Reference Court and vide judgment dated 01.10.2019, learned Addl. District Judge, Gurugram enhanced the compensation @ Rs.4,06,34,750/- per acre. Dissatisfied with the aforesaid award, the petitioner filed petition U/s 30 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 which came to be allowed vide order dated 14.11.2024 whereby, learned Addl. District Judge,

Gurugram held the petitioner entitled to received award amount deposited in Court amounting to Rs.2,99,394/- with interest up to date. Having failed to received the amount of compensation, the petitioner filed execution application i.e. EXE/673/2024 which is pending for 14.08.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner being aggrieved of the delay has filed the present revision petition for issuance of direction to the Executing Court concerned to decide the execution petition expeditiously, in a time bound manner.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. A perusal of record shows that execution petition (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner, is still pending and the petitioner is waiting to get his full amount of compensation on the basis of decision dated 14.11.2024 passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Gurugram.

7. In ***Rahul S. Shah Vs. Jinendra Kumar Gandhi and others (2021) 6 SCC 418***, the Hon'ble Supreme Court held as follow:-

“That the executing Court must dispose of execution proceeding within 6 months from the date of filing which may be extended only by recording reasons in writing for such delay.”

8. In view of the aforementioned legal position, the Executing Court is requested to dispose of the execution No.EXE/673/2024 as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of next 06 months from today, as any further delay may cause serious prejudice to the rights of the petitioner-land owner.

9. It is made clear that nothing stated herein-above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the execution proceedings.

10. The present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms without issuance of any notice to the respondents as it may cause further delay in the proceedings.

11. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

28.04.2025

Tejwinder

**(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>