



CRM-M-15547-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(109)

CRM-M-15547-2025

Date of Decision:- 21.03.2025

Kuldeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Deol, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed *inter alia* praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.82 dated 17.09.2024, under Sections 115(2), 126 (2), 304(2), 191 (3), 190, 351(3) BNS, registered at Police Station Sanaur, District Patiala.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only Section 304(2) of the BNSS Act is a non-bailable offence, while all other allegations and sections imposed are bailable in nature. He further contends that the petitioner had no role to play and that the entire FIR has been lodged with the intent to entangle the petitioner in litigation.

3. Learned State Counsel submits that the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person vide order dated 05.12.2023 and, therefore, is not entitled to any relief. Moreover, there are serious allegations of the petitioner and his son inflicting injuries on the complainant, and the weapon of the offence needs to be recovered. He also points out that the petitioner has a chequered history and, hence, is not entitled to the concession of



CRM-M-15547-2025

anticipatory bail.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties at length.

5. Admittedly, the petitioner has been declared a proclaimed person vide order dated 05.12.2023. A person who abuses the process of law, does not approach the Court with clean hands and has already been declared a proclaimed person cannot be granted the concession of anticipatory bail. Furthermore, there are specific allegations of injuries attributed to the petitioner, along with allegations of snatching a gold chain. Therefore, this case does not find any merit to grant an extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(ALOK JAIN)

JUDGE

21.03.2025

manju

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether Reportable:- Yes/No