



326.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3460-2025**

Date of decision: 25.03.2025

Amit Saini and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Randeep Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Utkrant Mahajan, Advocate for  
Ms. Gagan Bir Kaur Kahlon, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

-----

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.73, dated 27.11.2023, under Sections 326, 324, 323, 341, 450, 506, 201, 148, 149 of IPC (Sections 201 and 450 of IPC added lateron), registered at Police Station Taragarh, District Pathankot (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 04.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2025 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 24.02.2025 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the



factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the copies of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of learned trial Court and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

March 25, 2025  
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No  
Whether reportable: Yes/No