



254

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28798-2025

Date of Decision:28.05.2025

GURPREET SINGH ALIAS HAPPY

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.094 dated 27.07.2024, registered under Sections 458, 459, 326, 324, 323, 506, 427, 148, 149 IPC, Police Station Sadar Bathinda, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled by the complainant, the petitioner was carrying a dang (stick) and had caused an injury on the head of daughter of the complainant i.e. Seema Rani and the said injury has been declared to be simple in nature. He has further referred to order, Annexure P-4 to contend that similarly placed co-accused Vijay Singh and Tarsem Singh @ Semi have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 03.01.2025 and is in custody since then. After



completion of investigation, the challan has already been presented against him. However, the trial Court is yet to frame the charges.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. In the present case, the petitioner is in custody since 03.01.2025 and challan has been presented against him. Even the injuries suffered by Seema Rani, injured have been declared to be simple in nature and she has already been discharged from the hospital. Moreover, co-accused, Vijay Singh and Tarsem Singh have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

28.05.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No