



COCP-3247-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(104)

COCP-3247-2025

Date of decision: - 08.10.2025

Ruchika Nehra

...Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Aggarwal and another

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Ishita Arora, Advocate
for Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present contempt petition has been filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India for initiating proceedings of contempt against the respondents for willful disobedience of the order dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

2. On 15.09.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Present: Mr.Yogesh Vashista, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.*

Learned State counsel has submitted that as per his instructions, a fresh DPC has been conducted on 09.09.2025 and the



COCP-3247-2025

-2-

petitioner's case has been discussed and approved for the promotion to the post of Hydrologist and the same has been forwarded to the Secretariat for further compliance. The final decision would be taken on the same within a period of three weeks.

The compliance report be submitted on the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 08.10.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 15, 2025

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that vide order dated 03.10.2025, the petitioner has been promoted to the post of Hydrologist in the FPL-10 (Cell-1 = Rs.56100/-) of pay Matrix of Haryana Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2016 and a copy of the same has been handed over to the Court which is taken on record and has been marked as "Mark A". A copy of the said order has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

4. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 08, 2025
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No