



CWP No.6121 of 1999(O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6121 of 1999(O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.03.2025**

Narinder Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

**The Chief Engineer, Haryana Urban Development Authority and
another**

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Kushagra Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 09.09.1993 (Annexure P-1) to the extent he has been regularised as Mali-cum-Chowkidar instead of Water Meter Reader.

2. The petitioner joined respondent-Haryana Urban Development Authority as daily wager. He worked till 1993 and respondent by order dated 09.09.1993 regularised him as Mali-cum-Chowkidar. He claims that he should be regularised as Water Meter Reader instead of Mali-cum-Chowkidar. He was working as daily wager and was neither appointed



CWP No.6121 of 1999(O&M) -2-

against a sanctioned post nor after following the procedure prescribed for regular appointment. Nevertheless, as per Policy of the State Government, he was regularised vide order dated 09.09.1993. In the letter of regularisation, it was categorically mentioned that he is regularised as Mali-cum-Chowkidar.

3. The respondent in its reply has categorically stated that petitioner from March'1987 to July'1989 worked as Beldar, from May'1987 to November'1992 as Water Meter Reader and from August'1989 to March'1993 as Mali-cum-Chowkidar. In this way, there would be overlapping of period and nature of job. He worked for 41 months as Mali-cum-Chowkidar whereas worked as Water Meter Reader for 22 months. He has retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.05.2016 as Water Pump Operator. The post of Water Meter Reader as well as Mali-cum-Chowkidar was Class-D post. The appointment letter specifically provided that he would be regularised as Mali-cum-Chowkidar. This Court does not find any ground to interfere with the impugned order.

4. Dismissed.

5. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

17.03.2025
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No