



CWP-29157-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

(124)

CWP-29157-2025

Date of Decision : 29.09.2025

Prashant @ Prashant Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education  
and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**Present: Mr. Sumit Dua, Advocate  
for the petitioner.Mr. B.S. Seemar, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 to 3.Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)**

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a *mandamus* upon the respondents No.1 to 3, for correction in the name of the petitioner and parents of the petitioner, by adding surname with their names in the certificate/marks sheet of Secondary School Examination and Senior Secondary School Examination.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, while drawing attention of this Court towards Annexure P-14, submits that the petitioner has filed the application, way back on 15.12.2024. However, till date, no action has been taken by the contesting respondents, on the said application.

3. Mr. B.S. Seemar, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the contesting respondents No.1 to 3, on advance notice of the petition, and



CWP-29157-2025

2

submits that on the representation of the petitioner, the matter was duly considered by the competent authorities, on 19.03.2025, and a specific e-mail was sent to the petitioner demanding certain documents, and informing the petitioner that he is required to file an application on the requisite format, through the school concerned. However, till date, no such action has been taken, on the part of the petitioner. He further submits that in case, the petitioner after complying with all the objections, and the procedure duly mentioned in the e-mail dated 19.03.2025, applies before the respondent concerned, apt decision will be taken, thereafter.

4. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition, at this stage. He further submits that a *mandamus* be passed upon the contesting respondents, to decide the grievance of the petitioner, in a time bound manner.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, with a *mandamus* upon the contesting respondents, that in case, the petitioner approaches the respondents No.1 to 3, through the appropriate forum and after making due compliance to all the objections, raised through e-mail dated 19.03.2025, the latter shall make all endeavours to decide the grievance of the petitioner, within a period of four weeks, thereafter.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

September 29, 2025  
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No